

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,008	10/14/2003	Jochen Heinz	72570	4299
23872 MCGLEW & T	7590 09/25/2007 TUTTLE, PC		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 9227 SCARBOROU	1	•	DESANTO, MATTHEW F	
	GH, NY 10510-9227		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/685,008	HEINZ ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	20
Matthew F. DeSanto	3763	

		Matthew F. DeSanto	3763	
-	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence ad	dress
THE	REPLY FILED 07 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THI	, i.e	· ·	
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nota Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice wing replies: (1) an amendment tice of Appeal (with appeal fee ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	e of Appeal. To avoid at t, affidavit, or other evide) in compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
b) Exter have under set fo may r	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exist and its calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the other in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set ater than SIX MONTHS from the m (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFI tension and the corresponding among shortened statutory period for reply r than three months after the mailin	nailing date of the final reject ITHE FIRST REPLY WAS R 1.136(a) and the approprount of the fee. The approproriginally set in the final O	tion. FILED WITHIN iate extension fee briate extension fee ffice action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	
	NDMENTS			
3. 🗌	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	nsideration and/or search (see bw); tter form for appeal by material corresponding number of finall	NOTE below); ly reducing or simplifying	
4. 🗌			n-Compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
5. 🗀			***	. (, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
6.	1111		ate, timely filed amendm	nent canceling the
7. 🔀	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and an	explanation of
A E E I	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a y and was not earlier presente	ppeal and/or appellant f d. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
	<u>UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	*		
40 F	See Continuation Sheet.	(DTO/CD/00) Danas Na/a)		
	☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).☐ Other:	(FIU/SD/U6) Paper NO(S).		
13. [J Oulei			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner disagrees with the interpretation of the claim language. The terms injection molded and welded (as well as bonded and glued) are processes that occur that describe how the structure is formed. According to the MPEP section 2113, the patentability of a product doesn't depend on its method of production, the determination of patentability is based on the product itself, and therefore the arguments drawn to welding and single injection molded are considered but not given much patentable weight. The examiner suggestions applicants look at MPEP section 2113 to understand how the examiner is interpreting the claim language. As for the term one-piece construction, the examiner determines that the prior art will be a one-piece construction once the device is assembled thus forming one piece and therefore reading on the claimed limitations .

Matthew DeSanto Au 3763 9/20/07